UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,997	10/04/2005	Kai Schiemann	MERCK-3071	6470
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			EXAMINER	
			MURRAY, JEFFREY H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/30/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@mwzb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/551,997	SCHIEMANN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JEFFREY H. MURRAY	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	ılv 2010					
,	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		esecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	,					
· _						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,13,15,17 and 19-21</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>1-11,13,15,20 and 21</u> is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	6) Claim(s) 17 and 19 is/are rejected.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement					
are subject to restriction and/o	r cicculon requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 October 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	аселі Арріісацоп				

Application/Control Number: 10/551,997 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to an amendment filed on July 22, 2010. The final action dated February 25, 2010 is being withdrawn, and this non-final action will replace it. There are seventeen claims pending and seventeen claims under consideration. Claims 12, 14, 16 and 18 have been cancelled. The application relates generally to chromenoneindole derivatives of the Formula I and finding novel compounds which have high bioavailability and are capable of significantly increasing the serotonin level in the brain.

Specification

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any of the errors of which applicant may become aware of in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 1st paragraph

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for treating depression, does not reasonably provide enablement for all of the other diseases listed within the broad Claim 17. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The test of enablement is whether one skilled in the art could make and use the claimed invention from the disclosures in the application coupled with information known in the art without undue experimentation. (United States v. Teletronics Inc., 8 USPQ2d 1217 (Fed. Cir. 1988)). Whether undue experimentation is needed is not based on a single factor, but rather a conclusion reached by weighing many factors (See Ex parte Forman 230 USPQ 546 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1986) and In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

Claims 17 and 19 define a method to treating several different diseases in a patient in need thereof. The diseases and disorders listed in claim 17 covers an array of diseases which are largely unrelated and have different modes of action and different origins. The term covers several diverse disorders such as Alzheimer's Disease; extrapyramidal motor side effects of neuroleptics and of Parkinson's Disease and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS).

These diseases exhibit a very broad range of effects and origins. For example, some give progressive dementia without other prominent neurological signs, such as Alzheimer's Disease, whereas other dementias do have such signs. Many give distinctive and different patterns of effect, yet can leave visuospatial skills and day-to-day memorizing largely unaffected. Some of these diseases give muscular wasting without sensory changes such as ALS.

The toxic protein, for those diseases that involve one, also varies. In some cases it is tau, i.e. in Alzheimer's Disease. Alzheimer's Disease also involves β -amyloid. For Parkinson's disease it is α -synuclein. The nature of the protein deposits, when these

occur, varies as well. In Alzheimer's Disease, there are extracellular plaques from β-amyloid and neurofibrillary tangles (from tau). In Parkinson's disease it is Lewy bodies and in ALS it is Bunina bodies. Also note that the disease form is not necessarily related to the protein deposits. For example, Alzheimer's Disease gives progressive dementia without other prominent neurological signs.

The disease genes vary considerably as well. In Alzheimer's Disease, there is toxic gain of function with APP and loss of function of Presentlin 1 and Presentlin 2. With Parkinson's disease, there is toxic gain of function with α -synuclein, and loss of function of Parkin and UCHL1. In ALS there is toxic gain of function with SOD1.

As can be seen from the above, these diseases do not have any type of common origin or mode of action. There is no art recognized assay presently available in predicting whether a given compound would be able to treat these "very-difficult-to-treat diseases." Some diseases affect the mind, some affect movement, some affect both, and some effect neither. For example, Alzheimer's Disease is the most common neurodegenerative disorder. However, its etiology involves both tau protein and β -amyloid. Such a statement is not true for any other important disorder, and indeed some of the other disorders involve neither one of those. No new matter is permitted. Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-11, 13, 15, 20 and 21 are allowed.

Claims 1-11, 13, 15, 20 and 21 are free of the prior art. No prior art was seen in which contains compounds of Formula I as seen in Claim 1 of the current application.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,997 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

Conclusion

Claims 17 and 19 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey H. Murray whose telephone number is 571-272-9023. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 7:30-6pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisors, Mr. James O. Wilson can be reached at 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jeffrey H Murray/ /James O. Wilson/
Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624